(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
3.	6964	13370	1.1.2000
4.	8588	13557	1.12001
5.	13589	16790	1.9.2004

High Courts

Sl.No.	Pendency of cases		As on
	Civil	Criminal	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1.	2731061	339690	1.1.1998
2.	2338634	355490	1.1.1999
3.	2674028	392159	1.1.2000
4.	2446599	477125	1.1.2001
5.	2635701	600462	30.6.2004

Subordinate Courts

Sl.No.	Pendency of cases		As on
	Civil	Criminal	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1.	6893840	13245237	1.1.1998
2.	6774684	13412229	1.1.1999
3.	6333662	12787084	1,1.2000
4.	6893426	13621265	1.12001
5.	6655680	16202909	30.6.2004

Pending cases in Delhi Courts

1100. SHRI LALIT SURI: Will the Minister of LAW AND JUSTICE be pleased to state:

(a) the number of pending cases in Delhi Courts at various levels upto sessions courts and as on September 30,2004;

(b) the number out of them pending for (i) more than three years but less than five years (ii) for more than five years but less than ten years and (iii) for over ten years;

(c) whether a large number of cases are pending due to vacancies of judges; and

(d) if so, the number of sanctioned posts and the vacancies of judicial officers in Delhi, category-wise and the action propose to be taken to fill the vacancies and otherwise to expedite the cases?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE (SHRI K. VENKATAPATHY): (a) to (d) Information is being collected and the same will be laid on the Table of the House.

New technology in judicial systems

†1101. SHRI KRIPAL PARMAR: Will the Minister of LAW AND JUSTICE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government have also added the condition that same amount also be contributed by the State Governments in Centrally sponsored projects to equip the judiciary with the latest technology;

(b) if so, whether it is also a fact that most of the States have not taken the Central grant due to their poor economic conditions; and

(c) if so, whether Government would consider to provide the whole amount itself without depending on the States in order to bring improvement in judicial system?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE (SHRI K. VENKATAPATHY): (a) The Centrally Sponsored Scheme relating to development of infrastructure facilities for the judiciary is being implemented only to augment the resources of the State Governments. One of the main conditions of the scheme is that the State Government must come forward with a matching share to the amount released by the Central Government. However, the State Governments are free to utilize additional funds from their own resources. Funds are being released for construction of court building and residential accommodation of the

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.